

Chiral Perturbation in the Hidden Local Symmetry and Fate of Vector Dominance *

Masayasu Harada (Nagoya Univ.)

Abstract

In this talk I summarize our recent work on the vector dominance in QCD by using the hidden local symmetry as an effective field theory of QCD.

Since Sakurai advocated Vector Dominance (VD) [2], VD has been a widely accepted notion in describing vector meson phenomena in hadron physics. In Ref. [1] we revealed the full phase structure of the hidden local symmetry (HLS) through the one-loop renormalization group equation (RGE) including quadratic divergences. We then showed that VD is not a sacred discipline of the effective field theory but rather an accidental phenomenon peculiar to three-flavored QCD.

In this talk I summarize the main points of the work done in Ref. [1]

Let me start with the successful predictions of the HLS [3]. Lagrangian of the HLS with least derivative terms includes three parameters F_π , a and g . By making a dynamical assumption of a parameter choice $a = 2$, the HLS predicts the following outstanding phenomenological facts [3]: (1) $g_{\rho\pi\pi} = g$ (universality of the ρ -coupling [2]; (2) $m_\rho^2 = 2g_{\rho\pi\pi}^2 F_\pi^2$ (KSFR II) [4]; (3) $g_{\gamma\pi\pi} = 0$ (VD of the electromagnetic form factor of the π) [2]. Thus, *even though the vector mesons are gauge bosons of the HLS, VD is not automatic* consequence but rather dynamical one of a parameter choice of $a = 2$.

Due to quantum corrections the parameters change their values by the energy scale, which are determined by the RGE's. Accordingly, values of the parameters F_π , a and g cannot be freely chosen, although they are independent at tree level. Here I stress that *thanks to the gauge symmetry* in the HLS model it is possible to perform a *systematic loop expansion* including the vector mesons in addition to the pseudoscalar mesons [5, 6, 7, 8, 9] in a way to extend the chiral perturbation theory [10]. Furthermore, as shown in Ref. [11, 8] it is important to include *quadratic divergences* in calculating the quantum corrections. Due to quadratic divergences in the HLS dynamics, it follows that *even if the bare theory defined by the cutoff Λ is written as if it were in the broken phase characterized by $F_\pi^2(\Lambda) > 0$, the quantum theory can be in the symmetric phase characterized by $F_\pi^2(0) = 0$* [11].

In Ref. [1] we first studied the RG flows of the parameters and the phase structure of the HLS to classify the parameter space. Here I skip the explanation of those study on the phase structure of the HLS (for details, please see Refs. [1, 9]), and just present the fixed points of the RGE's. For analysing the RGE's it is convenient to introduce the following quantities: $X(\mu) \equiv C\mu^2/F_\pi^2(\mu)$ and $G(\mu) \equiv Cg^2(\mu)$, where $C = N_f/[2(4\pi)^2]$. In the RGE's for X , a and G there exist *three fixed points* and *one fixed line in the physical region* and one fixed point in the unphysical region (i.e., $a < 0$ and $X < 0$). Those in the physical region (labeled by $i = 1, \dots, 4$) are given by

$$(X_i^*, a_i^*, G_i^*) = (0, \text{any}, 0), \quad (1, 1, 0), \quad \left(\frac{3}{5}, \frac{1}{3}, 0\right), \quad \left(\frac{2(2 + 45\sqrt{87})}{4097}, \sqrt{87}, \frac{2(11919 - 176\sqrt{87})}{1069317}\right). \quad (1)$$

Let me now discuss the VD which is characterized by $a(0) = 2$, where $a(0)$ is given by

$$a(0) = a(m_\rho)/[1 + a(m_\rho)X(m_\rho) - 2X(m_\rho)]. \quad (2)$$

*Talk given at YITP workshop "Aspects of Particle Physics in New Century" (July 10 – 13, 2001, Yukawa Institute, Kyoto, Japan). This talk is based on the work done in collaboration with Prof. Yamawaki [1].

This implies that the VD ($a(0) = 2$) is only realized for $(X(m_\rho), a(m_\rho)) = (1/2, \text{any})$ or $(\text{any}, 2)$.

In $N_f = 3$ QCD, the parameters at m_ρ scale, $(X(m_\rho), a(m_\rho), G(m_\rho)) \simeq (0.46, 1.22, 0.38)$, happen to be near such a VD point. However, the RG flow actually belongs to the fixed point (X_4^*, a_4^*, G_4^*) which is far away from the VD value. Thus, *the VD in $N_f = 3$ QCD is accidentally realized by $X(m_\rho) \sim 1/2$ which is very unstable against the RG flow* (see Fig. 3 of Ref. [1]). For $G = 0$ the VD holds only if the parameters are (accidentally) chosen to be on the RG flow entering $(X, a, G) = (0, 2, 0)$ which is an end point of the line $(X(m_\rho), a(m_\rho)) = (\text{any}, 2)$. For $a = 1$ on the other hand, the VD point $(X, a, G) = (1/2, 1, 1/2)$ lies on the line $(X(m_\rho), a(m_\rho)) = (1/2, \text{any})$.

The phase diagrams shown in Ref. [1] show that neither $X(m_\rho) = 1/2$ nor $a(m_\rho) = 2$ is a special point in the parameter space of the HLS. Thus, it was concluded that *the VD can be satisfied only accidentally*. Then, when the parameter of QCD is changed, the VD is generally violated. In particular, neither $X(m_\rho) = 1/2$ nor $a(m_\rho) = 2$ is satisfied on the phase boundary surface where the chiral restoration takes place in HLS model. Therefore, *VD is realized nowhere on the chiral restoration surface !*

Moreover, *when the HLS is matched with QCD*, only the point $(X_2^*, a_2^*, G_2^*) = (1, 1, 0)$, the VM point, on the phase boundary is selected, since the axialvector and vector current correlators in HLS can be matched with those in QCD only at that point [12]. *Therefore, QCD predicts $a(0) = 1$, i.e., large violation of the VD at chiral restoration*. Actually, for the chiral restoration in the large N_f QCD [13, 14] the VM can in fact takes place [12], and thus the VD is badly violated.

Acknowledgment

I would like to thank Professor Koichi Yamawaki for collaboration in Ref. [1] on which this talk is based. I would be grateful to the organizers for giving me an opportunity to present this talk. This work is supported in part by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (A)#12740144.

References

- [1] M. Harada and K. Yamawaki, hep-ph/0105335 (to be published in Phys. Rev. Lett.).
- [2] See J.J. Sakurai, *Currents and Mesons* (University of Chicago, Chicago, 1969).
- [3] M. Bando, T. Kugo, S. Uehara, K. Yamawaki and T. Yanagida, Phys. Rev. Lett. **54**, 1215 (1985); M. Bando, T. Kugo and K. Yamawaki, Phys. Rept. **164**, 217 (1988).
- [4] K. Kawarabayashi and M. Suzuki, Phys. Rev. Lett. **16**, 255 (1966); Riazuddin and Fayyazuddin, Phys. Rev. **147**, 1071 (1966).
- [5] H. Georgi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **63**, 1917 (1989).
- [6] M. Harada and K. Yamawaki, Phys. Lett. B **297**, 151 (1992).
- [7] M. Tanabashi, Phys. Lett. B **316**, 534 (1993).
- [8] M. Harada and K. Yamawaki, Phys. Rev. D **64**, 014023.
- [9] M. Harada and K. Yamawaki, to appear in Phys. Rept.
- [10] S. Weinberg, Physica A **96**, 327 (1979); J. Gasser and H. Leutwyler, Annals Phys. **158**, 142 (1984).
- [11] M. Harada and K. Yamawaki, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 3374 (1999).
- [12] M. Harada and K. Yamawaki, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 757 (2001).
- [13] Y. Iwasaki, K. Kanaya, S. Kaya, S. Sakai and T. Yoshie, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **131**, 415 (1998), and references cited therein.
- [14] T. Appelquist, J. Terning and L.C. Wijewardhana, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 1214 (1996).